arXiv:math/0202020v1 [math.CA] 4 Feb 2002

On the L^2-norm of periodizations of functions

Oleg Kovrijkine
School of Mathematics
Institute for Advanced Study
Princeton, NJ 08540, USA
E-mail address: olegk@ias.edu

To the memory of Tom Wolff

Abstract

We prove that the $L^2([0,1]^d \times SO(d))$-norm of periodizations of a function from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is equivalent to the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of the function itself in higher dimensions. We generalize the statement for functions from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $1 \le p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$ in the spirit of the Stein-Tomas theorem.

0. Introduction.

Let f be a function from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define a family of its periodizations with respect to a rotated integer lattice:

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x-\nu)) \tag{1}$$

for all rotations $\rho \in SO(d)$. The main object of our study is G, the $L^2([0,1]^d \times SO(d))$-norm of the family of periodizations,

$$\begin{aligned} G^2 &= \int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \int\limits_{[0,1]^d} |g_\rho(x)|^2 dx d\rho \\ &= \int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_2^2 d\rho. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The purpose of this work is to show how G can give an estimate of the $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$-norm of a function from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in higher dimensions. Some results on the Steinhaus tiling problem are related to **Theorem 1** since periodizations naturally appear in the problem of Steinhaus. M. Kolountzakis ([6])

proves that if a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $|x|^\alpha f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, where $\alpha > \frac{10}{3}$ and its periodizations are constants, then the function is continuous. Another result is obtained by M. Kolountzakis and T. Wolff ([7], Theorem 1). It says that if periodizations of a function from $L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are constants then the function is continuous provided that the dimension d is at least three.

The main theorems are the following.

Theorem 1: *let $d \geq 4$ and let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If periodizations of f*

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x - \nu))$$

are in $L^2([0,1]^d)$ for almost all rotations $\rho \in SO(d)$ and

$$G^2 = \int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_2^2 d\rho < \infty$$

then $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\|f\|_2 \leq C(G + \|f\|_1),$$

where C depends only on d.

We also obtain the following inverse theorem.

Theorem 1′: *let $d \geq 5$, let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let g_ρ be periodizations of f*

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x - \nu))$$

then $g_\rho \in L^2([0,1]^d)$ for almost all rotations $\rho \in SO(d)$ and

$$\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_2^2 d\rho \leq C(\|f\|_2 + \|f\|_1)^2, \tag{3}$$

where C depends only on d.

We will generalize **Theorems 1** and **1′** in the spirit of the Stein-Tomas Theorem ([2], Chapter 6.5).

Theorem 2: *let $d \geq 4$, let $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$, and let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If periodizations of f*

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x - \nu))$$

are in $L^2([0,1]^d)$ for almost all rotations $\rho \in SO(d)$ and

$$G^2 = \int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_2^2 d\rho < \infty$$

then $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

$$\|f\|_2 \leq C(G + \|f\|_p), \tag{4}$$

where C depends only on d and p.

We also obtain the following inverse theorem.

Theorem 2′: *let $d \geq 5$, let $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$, and let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and let g_ρ be periodizations of f*

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x - \nu))$$

then $g_\rho \in L^2([0,1]^d)$ for almost all rotations $\rho \in SO(d)$ and

$$\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho - \hat{g}_\rho(0)\|_2^2 d\rho \leq C(\|f\|_2 + \|f\|_p)^2, \tag{5}$$

where C depends only on d and p.

The rest of the paper is concerned with the proofs of the theorems stated above.

1. Case $p = 1$.

Note that the constant C below is not fixed and varies appropriately from one equality or inequality to another although such variations are not noted.

Proof of **Theorem 1**:

We will denote $\tilde{f}(x) = \bar{f}(-x)$ and $F(x) = f * \tilde{f}(x)$. Then $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and

$$\|F\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1^2. \tag{6}$$

We will define the following functions $h, h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \int |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma_t(\xi) && (7) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f * \tilde{f}(x) \widehat{d\sigma_t}(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} F(x) \widehat{d\sigma_t}(x) dx, && (8) \end{aligned}$$

$$h_1(t) = \int_{|x|\leq 1} F(x) \widehat{d\sigma_t}(x) dx, \tag{9}$$

$$h_2(t) = \int_{|x|>1} F(x) \widehat{d\sigma_t}(x) dx. \tag{10}$$

Clearly $h = h_1 + h_2$.

Lemma 1 *Let $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, and let $b \in [0, 1)$. Define $H_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$*

$$H_1(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+b}} h_1(\sqrt{t+b}) q(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}).$$

Then for large enough N we have

$$\sum_{\nu \neq 0} |\hat{H}_1(\nu)| \leq \frac{C\|F\|_1}{N} \tag{11}$$

where C depends only on q and d.

Proof of **Lemma 1**:

First we will estimate derivatives of $h_1(t)$

$$|h_1^{(k)}(t)| \leq Ct^{d-1}\|F\|_1 \tag{12}$$

where $t \geq 1$ and C depends only on k and d. This follows from (9) by differentiating the last equality k times:

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(t) &= \int_{|x|\leq 1} F(x)\widehat{d\sigma_t}(x)dx \\ &= t^{d-1}\int_{|x|\leq 1} F(x)\int_{|\xi|=1} e^{-i2\pi tx\cdot\xi}d\sigma(\xi)dx. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily prove by induction that

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\left(\frac{h_1(\sqrt{t+b})}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_{i,k}\frac{h_1^{(i)}(\sqrt{t+b})}{(\sqrt{t+b})^{2k+1-i}}. \tag{13}$$

It follows from (13) and (12) that when $t \sim N^2$ we have

$$\left|\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\left(\frac{h_1(\sqrt{t+b})}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right)\right| \leq CN^{d-k-2}\|F\|_1 \tag{14}$$

with C depending only on k and d.

Since $q(\frac{(\sqrt{t+b})}{N}) = q(\sqrt{t'+b'}) = \tilde{q}(t')$ with $t' = \frac{t}{N^2}$ and $b' = \frac{b}{N^2}$ and $\tilde{q}(t')$ is a Schwartz function supported in $t' \sim 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{d^k}{dt^k}q(\frac{(\sqrt{t+b})}{N})\right| &= N^{-2k}|\frac{d^k}{dt'^k}\tilde{q}(t')| \\ &\leq CN^{-2k} \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

with C depending only on k and q.

Since $q(\frac{(\sqrt{t+b})}{N})$ is supported in $t \sim N^2$ it follows from (14) and (15) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{d^k}{dt^k}H_1(t)\right| &= \left|\frac{d^k}{dt^k}\left(\frac{h_1(\sqrt{t+b})}{\sqrt{t+b}}q(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N})\right)\right| \\ &\leq CN^{d-2-k}\|F\|_1 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

with C depending only on k, d and q. Since $H_1(t)$ is also supported in $t \sim N^2$ we have

$$\|H_1^{(k)}\|_1 \leq CN^{d-k}\|F\|_1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{H}_1(\nu)| &\leq \frac{C}{|\nu|^k}\|H_1^{(k)}\|_1 \\ &\leq \frac{CN^{d-k}\|F\|_1}{|\nu|^k} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

for every $\nu \neq 0$.

Summing (17) over all $\nu \neq 0$ and putting $k = d+1$ we get our desired result

$$\sum_{\nu\neq 0}|\hat{H}_1(\nu)| \leq \frac{C\|F\|_1}{N} \tag{18}$$

where C depends only on q and d. □

In the next lemma we will use an approach related to ([7], Lemma 1.1).

Lemma 2 *Let $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, and let $b \in [0, 1)$. Define $H_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$*

$$H_2(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+b}}h_2(\sqrt{t+b})q(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}).$$

Then for large enough N we have

$$\sum_{\nu\neq 0}|\hat{H}_2(\nu)| \leq \int\limits_{|x|\geq 1}|F(x)| \cdot |D_N(x)| \tag{19}$$

where $D_N : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$

$$|D_N(x)| \leq C \begin{cases} (\frac{N}{|x|})^{\frac{d-2}{2}} & \text{if } |x| \geq \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{1}{N} & \text{if } 1 \leq |x| \leq \frac{N}{2}, \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

with C *depending only on* q *and* d.

Proof of **Lemma 2**:

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{H}_2(\nu) \\ = & \int H_2(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu t} dt \\ = & 2e^{i2\pi\nu b} \int Nq(t) h_2(tN) e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2} dt \\ = & 2e^{i2\pi\nu b} \int Nq(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2} \int_{|x|>1} F(x) \widehat{d\sigma_{Nt}}(x) dx dt \\ = & 2e^{i2\pi\nu b} \int_{|x|>1} F(x) \int Nq(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2} (Nt)^{d-1} \widehat{d\sigma}(Ntx) dt dx. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

We will use a well-known fact that $\widehat{d\sigma}(x) = Re(B(|x|))$ with $B(r) = a(r)e^{i2\pi r}$ and $a(r)$ satisfying estimates

$$|a^k(r)| \leq \frac{C}{r^{\frac{d-1}{2}+k}} \tag{22}$$

with C depending only on k and d. Now we will need to estimate the inner integral in (21) with $B(|x|)$ instead of $\widehat{d\sigma}(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int Nq(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2} (Nt)^{d-1} a(N|x|t) e^{i2\pi N|x|t} dt \\ = & \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int q(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2} t^{d-1} a(N|x|t) (N|x|)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} e^{i2\pi N|x|t} dt \\ = & \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} e^{i2\pi\frac{|x|^2}{4\nu}} \int q(t) a(N|x|t) (N|x|)^{\frac{d-1}{2}} t^{d-1} e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2 (t-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2} dt \\ = & \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} e^{i2\pi\frac{|x|^2}{4\nu}} \int \phi(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2 (t-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2} dt \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where $\phi(t) = q(t)a(N|x|t)(N|x|)^{\frac{d-1}{2}}t^{d-1}$ is a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ whose derivatives and the function itself are bounded uniformly in t, x and N because of (22). Note that we used here the fact that $N|x| \geq 1$. We can say even more. Note that in fact $\phi(t) = \phi(t, |x|)$. Let $|x| = c \cdot r$ where $c \geq 2$ and $r \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Then all partial derivatives of $\phi(t, c \cdot r)$ with respect to t and r are also bounded uniformly in t, r, c and N. The only place, where we will use that $\phi(t)$ also depends on x, is formula (65) from the proof of **Lemma 4**. Therefore, we will keep writing just $\phi(t)$ until formula (65).

From the method of stationary phase ([5], Theorem 7.7.3) it follows that if $k \geq 1$ then

$$|\int \phi(t)e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2(t-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2}dt - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j(\nu N^2)^{-j-\frac{1}{2}}\phi^{(2j)}(\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})| \leq c_k(\nu N^2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (24)$$

where c_j are some constants.

Since ϕ is supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ we conclude from (24) that

$$|\int \phi(t)e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2(t-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2}dt| \leq \begin{cases} C(\nu N^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } \nu \in [\frac{|x|}{4N}, \frac{|x|}{N}] \\ C_k(\nu N^2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } \nu \notin [\frac{|x|}{4N}, \frac{|x|}{N}] \end{cases}. \quad (25)$$

If $\frac{|x|}{N} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then there are no ν in $[\frac{|x|}{4N}, \frac{|x|}{N}]$ and therefore if we sum (25) over all $\nu \neq 0$ we will get

$$|\int \phi(t)e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2(t-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2}dt| \leq C_k N^{-2k-1}. \quad (26)$$

If $\frac{|x|}{N} \geq \frac{1}{2}$ then the number of ν in $[\frac{|x|}{4N}, \frac{|x|}{N}]$ is bounded by $\frac{|x|}{N}$ and therefore if we sum (25) over all $\nu \neq 0$ we will get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu\neq 0} |\int \phi(t)e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2(t-\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2}dt| &\leq C\frac{|x|}{N}(|x|N)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C_k N^{-2k-1} \\ &\leq C_k \frac{|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{N^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Summing (23) over all $\nu \neq 0$ and applying (26) or (27) we conclude

$$\sum_{\nu\neq 0} |\int Nq(t)e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2}(Nt)^{d-1}B(N|x|t)dt| \leq \begin{cases} C_k(\frac{N}{|x|})^{\frac{d-2}{2}} & \text{if } \frac{|x|}{N} \geq \frac{1}{2} \\ C_k \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}-2k-1}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} & \text{if } \frac{|x|}{N} \leq \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}. \quad (28)$$

Replacing in (21) $\widehat{d\sigma}(x)$ with $\frac{B(|x|)+\bar{B}(|x|)}{2}$, summing over all $\nu \neq 0$ and applying (28) with $k \geq \frac{d+1}{4}$ we get the desired result

$$\sum_{\nu \neq 0} |\hat{H}_2(\nu)| \leq \int\limits_{|x| \geq 1} |F(x)| \cdot |D_N(x)| \tag{29}$$

where $D_N : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$

$$|D_N(x)| \leq C \begin{cases} (\frac{N}{|x|})^{\frac{d-2}{2}} & \text{if } |x| \geq \frac{N}{2} \\ \frac{1}{N} & \text{if } 1 \leq |x| \leq \frac{N}{2} \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

with C depending only on q and d. □

Now we are in a position to proceed with the proof of **Theorem 1**. From (1) it follows that

$$\hat{g}_\rho(m) = \hat{f}(\rho m) \tag{31}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. By scaling we can assume that

$$\hat{g}_\rho(m) = \hat{f}(\frac{\rho m}{\sqrt{2}}) \tag{32}$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. It follows that

$$\|g_\rho\|_2^2 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{g}_\rho(m)|^2 = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}(\frac{\rho m}{\sqrt{2}})|^2. \tag{33}$$

Let $r_d(n)$ denote the number of representations of an integer n as sums of d squares. It is a well-known fact from Number Theory that if $d \geq 5$ then

$$r_d(n) \geq C n^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \tag{34}$$

and if $d = 4$ and n is odd then

$$r_4(n) \geq Cn \tag{35}$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on d. See for example ([3], p.30, p.155, p.160).

Integrating (33) with respect to the Haar measure $d\rho$ and applying (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^2 &= \int\limits_{\rho\in SO(d)} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}(\frac{\rho m}{\sqrt{2}})|^2 d\rho \\ &= \int\limits_{|\xi|=1} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}(\frac{|m|}{\sqrt{2}}\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0} \sum_{|m|^2=n} \int\limits_{|\xi|=1} |\hat{f}(\frac{|m|}{\sqrt{2}}\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \\ &\geq \sum_{n\geq 0} \sum_{|m|^2=2n+1} \int\limits_{|\xi|=1} |\hat{f}(\frac{|m|}{\sqrt{2}}\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0} r_d(2n+1) \int\limits_{|\xi|=1} |\hat{f}(\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{r_d(2n+1)}{(n+\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma_{\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}}(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Using (7) and (34) or (35) we conclude from (36) that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}} h(\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \leq CG^2. \tag{37}$$

Let $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a fixed non-negative Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ such that

$$q(x) + q(x/2) = 1$$

when $x \in [1, 2]$. It follows that

$$\sum_{j\geq 0} q(\frac{x}{2^j}) = 1 \tag{38}$$

when $x \geq 1$.

Applying the Poisson summation formula to

$$\begin{aligned} H(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}} h(\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}) q(\frac{\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}}{N}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}} h_1(\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}) q(\frac{\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}}{N}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}} h_2(\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}) q(\frac{\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}}{N}) \\ &= H_1(t) + H_2(t) \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n H(n) &= \sum_\nu \hat{H}(\nu) \\ &= \hat{H}(0) + \sum_{\nu \neq 0} \hat{H}_1(\nu) + \sum_{\nu \neq 0} \hat{H}_2(\nu). \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}(0) &= \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}} h(\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}) q(\frac{\sqrt{t+\frac{1}{2}}}{N}) dt \\ &= 2 \int h(t) q(\frac{t}{N}) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Substituting (40) into (39) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int h(t) q(\frac{t}{N}) dt &\leq \\ \sum_n H(n) + \sum_{\nu \neq 0} |\hat{H}_1(\nu)| + \sum_{\nu \neq 0} |\hat{H}_2(\nu)| &\leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}} h(\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}) q(\frac{\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}}{N}) + \frac{C\|F\|_1}{N} + \int_{|x| \geq 1} |F(x) D_N(x)| dx \tag{41}$$

where the last inequality follows from **Lemma 1** and **Lemma 2**.

From the definition of $D_N(x)$ in (20), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j\geq 0}|D_{2^j}(x)| &= \sum_{2^j\leq 2|x|}|D_{2^j}(x)|+\sum_{2^j>2|x|}|D_{2^j}(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{2^j\leq 2|x|}C(\frac{2^j}{|x|})^{\frac{d-2}{2}}+\sum_{2^j>2|x|}\frac{C}{2^j}\leq C \qquad (42)\end{aligned}$$

for every $|x|\geq 1$.

Putting $N=2^j$ in (41), summing over all $j\geq 0$ and applying (38) we get by Lebesgue Monotone Convergence Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}2\int_1^\infty h(t)dt &\leq \sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}}}h(\sqrt{n+\frac{1}{2}})+C\|F\|_1+C\int_{|x|\geq 1}|F(x)|dx \\ &\leq C(G^2+\|F\|_1) \qquad (43)\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from (37). From the definition of $h(t)$ (7) it follows that

$$h(t)\leq C\|f\|_1^2 \qquad (44)$$

for $t\leq 1$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int|\hat{f}(x)|^2dx &= \int_0^\infty|\hat{f}(\xi)|^2d\sigma_t(\xi)dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty h(t)dt \\ &\leq C(G^2+\|f\|_1^2) \qquad (45)\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained from (43), (44) and (6). From (45) it follows that $f\in L^2$ and

$$\|f\|_2\leq C(G+\|f\|_1)$$

with C depending only on d.
□

If $d \geq 5$ then

$$r_d(n) \leq Cn^{\frac{d-2}{2}} \tag{46}$$

where $C > 0$ depends only on d. See for example ([3], p.155, p.160). An argument similar to one used to get (36), but without scaling, shows that

$$\begin{aligned} G^2 &= \int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{f}(\rho m)|^2 d\rho \\ &= |\hat{f}(0)|^2 + \sum_{n \geq 1} r_d(n) \int\limits_{|\xi|=1} |\hat{f}(\sqrt{n}\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \\ &= |\hat{f}(0)|^2 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{r_d(n)}{n^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \int |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma_{\sqrt{n}}(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Using (7) and (46) we conclude from (47) that

$$G^2 \leq \|f\|_1^2 + C \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} h(\sqrt{n}). \tag{48}$$

Repeating arguments which we used to obtain (43) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} h(\sqrt{n}) &\leq 2 \int\limits_0^\infty h(t)dt + C\|F\|_1 \\ &\leq C(\|\hat{f}\|_2^2 + \|f\|_1^2). \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Hence we can formulate an inverse theorem to **Theorem 1**:

Theorem 1′: *let $d \geq 5$ and let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let g_ρ be periodizations of f*

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x - \nu)) \tag{50}$$

then $g_\rho \in L^2([0,1]^d)$ for almost all rotations $\rho \in SO(d)$ and

$$\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_2^2 d\rho \leq C(\|f\|_2 + \|f\|_1)^2$$

where C depends only on d.

Corollary: complex interpolation between the trivial $p = 1$ and $p = 2$ gives us the following result for $1 < p < 2$: let $d \geq 5$ and let $f \in L^{\frac{p}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let g_ρ be periodizations of f

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x - \nu))$$

then $g_\rho \in L^p([0,1]^d)$ for almost all rotations $\rho \in SO(d)$ and

$$\left(\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_p^{p'} d\rho \right)^{1/p'} \leq C\|f\|_p^{2-p}(\|f\|_p + \|f\|_{\frac{p}{2}})^{p-1} = C\|f\|_p(1 + \frac{\|f\|_{\frac{p}{2}}}{\|f\|_p})^{p-1}$$

where C depends only on d.

If p' is an even integer then $|\hat{f}|^{p'} = \hat{F}$ where $\|F\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1^{p'}$. Using the same proof as for $p' = 2$ we get for $d \geq 4$

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{p'}^{p'} \leq C(\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|\hat{g}_\rho\|_{p'}^{p'} d\rho + \|f\|_1^{p'})$$

and for $d \geq 5$

$$\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|\hat{g}_\rho\|_{p'}^{p'} d\rho \leq C(\|\hat{f}\|_{p'}^{p'} + \|f\|_1^{p'}).$$

2. Case $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$.

We will generalize **Theorems 1 and 1′** in the spirit of the Stein-Tomas Theorem ([2], Chapter 6.5).

Theorem 2: *let $d \geq 4$ and let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$. If periodizations of f*

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x - \nu))$$

are in $L^2([0,1]^d)$ *for almost all rotations* $\rho \in SO(d)$ *and*

$$G^2 = \int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_2^2 d\rho < \infty$$

then $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$*:*

$$\|f\|_2 \leq C(G + \|f\|_p) \tag{51}$$

where C *depends only on* d *and* p*.*

It will follow from the proof (see (36)) that we can replace $\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_2^2 d\rho$ with $\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho - \hat{g}(0)\|_2^2 d\rho$ in **Theorem 2**, which is the norm of g in the quotient space $L^2([0,1]^d \times SO(d))$ modulo constants. We will also obtain an inverse theorem.

Theorem 2′: *let* $d \geq 5$ *and let* $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$ *and let* g_ρ *be periodizations of* f

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x - \nu))$$

then $g_\rho \in L^2([0,1]^d)$ *for almost all rotations* $\rho \in SO(d)$ *and*

$$\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho - \hat{g}_\rho(0)\|_2^2 d\rho \leq C(\|f\|_2 + \|f\|_p)^2 \tag{52}$$

where C *depends only on* d *and* p*.*

Since Schwartz functions are dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ it follows from **Theorem 2′** that we can define periodizations g_ρ of $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$ for a.e. $\rho \in SO(d)$ as elements of the quotient space of $L^2([0,1]^d)$ modulo constants.

We say that $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has periodizations g in the quotient space $L^2([0,1]^d \times SO(d))$ modulo constants if there exists a sequence of Shwartz

functions f_k converging to f in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and such that $g_k \to g$ in the quotient space $L^2([0,1]^d \times SO(d))$ modulo constants. From **Theorem 2** we conclude that $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $f_k \to f$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It follows from **Theorem 2'** that g is a well-defined element of the quotient space $L^2([0,1]^d \times SO(d))$ modulo constants.

Remarks: 1. As the following example shows, we can not replace $\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho - \hat{g}(0)\|_2^2 d\rho$ with $\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_2^2 d\rho$ in **Theorem 2'** when $p > 1$. Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ be a Schwartz function supported in $B(0,1)$ such that $\phi(0) = 1$. Put $\hat{f}(x) = \phi(\frac{x}{\epsilon})$. Then

$$g_\rho = \hat{f}(0) = 1$$

but

$$\|f\|_p = \epsilon^{\frac{d}{p'}} \|\check{\phi}\|_p.$$

2. The next example from ([2], Chapter 6.3) shows that p can not be greater than $\frac{2d+2}{d+3}$ in **Theorem 2'**. Put

$$\hat{f}(x_1, ..., x_d) = \phi(\frac{x_1 - 1}{\epsilon^2}, \frac{x_2}{\epsilon}, ..., \frac{x_d}{\epsilon}) \tag{53}$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ is a Schwartz function supported in $B(0,2)$ such that $\phi = 1$ in $B(0,1)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho - \hat{g}(0)\|_2^2 d\rho &= 2d \int_{|\xi|=1} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \\ &\geq C\epsilon^{d-1} \end{aligned}$$

but

$$\|f\|_p^2 = \epsilon^{\frac{2d+2}{p'}} \|\check{\phi}\|_p.$$

It is an open question whether **Theorems 2** and **2'** are valid when $\frac{2d}{d+2} \leq p < \frac{2d+2}{d+3}$. We discuss this further in **Remark 2** at the end of the paper.

Proof of **Theorem 2**:
The proof is quite similarly to that of **Theorem 1**. We will replace **Lemma 1** with

Lemma 3 *Let $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and let $b \in [0, 1)$. Define $H_1 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$*

$$H_1(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+b}} h_1(\sqrt{t+b}) q(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}).$$

Then for large enough N we have

$$\sum_{\nu \neq 0} |\hat{H}_1(\nu)| \leq \frac{C\|f\|_p^2}{N} \tag{54}$$

where C depends only on q and d.

Proof of **Lemma 3**:

The only difference in the proof is how to obtain an inequality analogous to (12). Using Young's inequality we have $\|f * \tilde{f}\|_q \leq \|f\|_p^2$ where $1 + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{2}{p}$. Therefore $|\int (f * \tilde{f})(x) w(x) dx| \leq \|f\|_p^2 \|w\|_{q'}$. Substituting derivatives of $\widehat{d\sigma_t}(x) \chi_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}$ with respect to t instead of w, we get the desired inequality

$$|h_1^{(k)}(t)| \leq C t^{d-1} \|f\|_p^2 \tag{55}$$

where $t \geq 1$ and C depends only on k and d. □

The main difficulty is to prove a lemma analogous to **Lemma 2**:

Lemma 4 *Let $q : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $1 \leq p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$ and let $b \in [0, 1)$. Define $H_{2,N} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$*

$$H_{2,N}(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t+b}} h_2(\sqrt{t+b}) q(\frac{\sqrt{t+b}}{N}).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{\nu \neq 0} |\sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{H}_{2,2^j}(\nu)| \leq C\|f\|_p^2 \tag{56}$$

with C depending only on p, q and d.

Proof of **Lemma 4**:

Recall from (21) that

$$\hat{H}_{2,N}(\nu) = 2\int (f * \tilde{f})(x) D_{N,\nu}(x) dx$$

where

$$D_{N,\nu}(x) = \chi_{\{|x|>1\}} e^{i2\pi\nu b} \int Nq(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu(Nt)^2} (Nt)^{d-1} \widehat{d\sigma}(Ntx) dt. \tag{57}$$

Denote by

$$K_\nu(x) = \sum_{l\geq 0} D_{2^l,\nu}(x). \tag{58}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\sum_{l\geq 0} \hat{H}_{2,2^l}(\nu)| &= 2|\int (f * \tilde{f})(x) \sum_{l\geq 0} D_{2^l,\nu}(x) dx| \\ &= 2|\int \tilde{f}(x)(K_\nu * f)(x) dx| \\ &\leq 2\|f\|_p \|K_\nu * f\|_{p'}. \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

If $p' = \infty$ or $p' = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_\nu * f\|_\infty &\leq \|K_\nu\|_\infty \|f\|_1 \\ \|K_\nu * f\|_2 &\leq \|\hat{K}_\nu\|_\infty \|f\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

First we will show that

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_\nu\|_\infty &\leq \|\sum_{l\geq 0} |D_{2^l,\nu}|(x)\|_\infty \\ &\leq C\nu^{-\frac{d}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

It follows from (25) that

$$|D_{N,\nu}(x)| \leq \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \begin{cases} C(\nu N^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } N \in [\frac{|x|}{4\nu}, \frac{|x|}{\nu}] \\ C_k(\nu N^2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } N \notin [\frac{|x|}{4\nu}, \frac{|x|}{\nu}] \end{cases}. \tag{61}$$

If $\nu > 0$ then the number of diadic $N \in [\frac{|x|}{4\nu}, \frac{|x|}{\nu}]$ is at most 3. If $\nu < 0$ then there are no N in $[\frac{|x|}{4\nu}, \frac{|x|}{\nu}]$. Therefore choosing $k \geq \frac{d-1}{2}$ and summing (61) over all diadic N we have

$$\sum_{l \geq 0} |D_{2^l,\nu}(x)| \leq C|\nu|^{-\frac{d}{2}}$$

with C depending only on d and q.

Now we will show that

$$\|\hat{K}_\nu\|_\infty \leq \|\sum_{l \geq 0} |\hat{D}_{2^l,\nu}|(y)\|_\infty \leq C. \tag{62}$$

Since supp $\phi \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ we can re-write (24) for a stronger version of the method of stationary phase ([5], Theorems 7.6.4, 7.6.5, 7.7.3)

$$|\int \phi(t) e^{-i2\pi\nu N^2 (t - \frac{|x|}{2\nu N})^2} dt - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j (\nu N^2)^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{(2j)}(\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})| \leq \frac{c_k (\nu N^2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{max(1, \frac{|x|}{8N\nu})^k}$$

where c_j are some constants. Therefore

$$D_{N,\nu}(x) = \chi_{\{|x|>1\}} \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} e^{i2\pi \frac{|x|^2}{4\nu}} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} c_j (\nu N^2)^{-j-\frac{1}{2}} \phi^{(2j)}(\frac{|x|}{2\nu N}) + \phi_k(x) \tag{63}$$

where $|\phi_k(x)| \leq \chi_{\{|x|>1\}} \frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} \frac{c_k (\nu N^2)^{-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{max(1, \frac{|x|}{8N\nu})^k}$. Choosing $k \geq \frac{d+2}{2}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\phi}_k\|_\infty &\leq \|\phi_k\|_1 \\ &= \int\limits_{|x| \leq 8\nu N} |\phi_k| dx + \int\limits_{|x| > 8\nu N} |\phi_k| dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{N} \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

where C depends only on d and q. We can assume that $\nu > 0$ since $D_{N,\nu}(x) = \phi_k(x)$ for $\nu < 0$. We can also ignore $\chi_{\{|x|>1\}}$ in front of the sum in (63) because

if $\frac{|x|}{2\nu N} \in [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$, then $|x| \geq \nu N \geq 1$. We will consider only the zero term in the sum. The other terms can be treated similarly. The Fourier transform of

$$\frac{N^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}{|x|^{\frac{d-1}{2}}} e^{i2\pi \frac{|x|^2}{4\nu}} (\nu N^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \phi(\frac{|x|}{2\nu N})$$

at point y is equal to

$$N^{\frac{d+1}{2}} (2\nu N)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} (\nu N^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(|x|) e^{i2\pi\nu N^2 |x|^2} e^{-i2\pi 2\nu N x\cdot y} dx =$$
$$C(\nu N^2)^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-i2\pi\nu|y|^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(|x|) e^{i2\pi\nu N^2 |x-\frac{y}{N}|^2} dx \qquad (65)$$

where $\psi(t) = \phi(t, 2\nu N t) t^{-\frac{d-1}{2}}$ is a Schwartz function supported in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ whose derivatives and the function itself are bounded uniformly in t, ν and N (see the remarks after (23)). The same is true about partial derivatives of $\psi(|x|)$. Applying the stationary phase method for $\mathbb{R}^d$ ([5], Theorem 7.7.3) we get

$$|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(|x|) e^{i2\pi\nu N^2 |x-\frac{y}{N}|^2} dx| \leq \begin{cases} C(\nu N^2)^{-\frac{d}{2}} & \text{if } N \in [\frac{|y|}{2}, 2|y|] \\ C_k (\nu N^2)^{-k-\frac{d}{2}} & \text{if } N \notin [\frac{|y|}{2}, 2|y|] \end{cases}. \qquad (66)$$

Therefore the absolute value of (65) can be bounded from above by:

$$\leq \begin{cases} C & \text{if } N \in [\frac{|y|}{2}, 2|y|] \\ C_k (\nu N^2)^{-k} & \text{if } N \notin [\frac{|y|}{2}, 2|y|] \end{cases}. \qquad (67)$$

Similar inequalities hold for Fourier transforms for the rest of the terms in the sum in (63). The number of diadic $N \in [\frac{|y|}{2}, 2|y|]$ is bounded by 3. Using (64), choosing $k \geq 1$ in (67) and summing over all diadic N we get

$$\sum_{l \geq 0} |\hat{D}_{2^l,\nu}(y)| \leq C \qquad (68)$$

with C depending only on d and q. Using (60) and (62) and interpolating between $p = 1$ and $p = 2$, we obtain

$$\|K_\nu * f\|_{p'} \leq C\nu^{-\alpha_p} \|f\|_p$$

where $\alpha_p = \frac{d}{2}\frac{2-p}{p}$. We have $\alpha_p > 1$ if $p < \frac{2d}{d+2}$. Summing (59) over all $\nu \neq 0$, we get the desired inequality

$$\sum_{\nu \neq 0} |\sum_{j \geq 0} \hat{H}_{2,2^j}(\nu)| \leq C\|f\|_p^2.$$

□

Now we are in a position to proceed with the proof of **Theorem 2**. The proof is almost the same as the one of **Theorem 1**. We also need to replace inequality (44) with the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 h(t)dt &= \int_{|y| \leq 1} |\hat{f}(y)|^2 dy \\ &\leq C\|\hat{f}\|_{p'}^2 \\ &\leq C\|f\|_p^2 \end{aligned}$$

where $p \leq 2$ and C depends only on d. An argument similar to the one used to get (41), (43) and (45) yields the desired inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\hat{f}(x)|^2 dx &= \int_0^\infty |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma_t(\xi) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty h(t)dt \\ &\leq C(G^2 + \|f\|_p^2) \end{aligned}$$

with C depending on d and p. Note that the interchange of summation by ν and N is not a problem. □

The proof of **Theorem 2′** is the same (see the argument before **Theorem 1′**). The important thing is that now we exclude $\hat{g}_\rho(0) = \hat{f}(0)$ in (47) now.

Final remarks: 1. We can further generalize **Theorem 2′**. Fix some $q \in [1, \frac{2d}{d+2})$. Applying complex interpolation between the trivial $p = 1$ and $p = 2$, we obtain the following result for $1 < p < 2$: let $d \geq 5$ and let $f \in L^{\frac{qp}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let g_ρ be periodizations of f

$$g_\rho(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(\rho(x - \nu)) \tag{69}$$

then $g_\rho \in L^p([0,1]^d)$ for almost all rotations $\rho \in SO(d)$ and

$$\left(\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho - \hat{g}_\rho(0)\|_p^{p'} d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C \|f\|_p^{2-p} (\|f\|_p + \|f\|_{\frac{qp}{2}})^{p-1}$$

where C depends only on d and q. Choosing $q = \frac{2}{p}$ in the above inequality we obtain the following generalization of **Theorem 1′**: if $\frac{d+2}{d} < p \leq 2$ and $d \geq 5$ then

$$\left(\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|g_\rho\|_p^{p'} d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C_p (\|f\|_p + \|f\|_1).$$

If p' is an even integer then $|\hat{f}|^{p'} = \widehat{F * \tilde{F}}$ where $\|F\|_r \leq \|f\|_q^{\frac{p'}{2}}$ with $\frac{p'}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{p'/2}{q}$. Repeating the same arguments as for $p' = 2$ we obtain for $d \geq 4$

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{p'}^{p'} \leq C(\|f\|_q^{p'} + \int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|\hat{g}_\rho\|_{p'}^{p'} d\rho)$$

and for $d \geq 5$

$$\int\limits_{\rho \in SO(d)} \|\hat{g}_\rho - \hat{g}_\rho(0)\|_{p'}^{p'} d\rho \leq C(\|f\|_q^{p'} + \|\hat{f}\|_{p'}^{p'})$$

where $1 \leq q < \frac{\frac{p'}{2}}{\frac{p'}{2} - 1 + \frac{d+2}{2d}}$ and C depends only on d and q.

2. Conditionally on the exponent pair conjecture ([8], Chapter 4, Conjecture 2) we can clarify what happens when $\frac{2d}{d+2} \leq p < \frac{2d+2}{d+3}$. In our case the conjecture says that

$$|\sum_{n\leq\nu\leq m} e^{i\frac{|x|^2}{\nu}}| \leq C_\epsilon |x|^\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{70}$$

where $m \leq 2n$ and $|x| \geq n$. Let $\beta(x) = \max(1, |x|)$.

Proposition 1. Theorems 2 and 2' *hold if we replace* $\|f\|_p$ *with* $\|\beta^\epsilon f\|_p$ *and if* $p < \frac{2d+2}{d+3}$*, provided the conjecture (70) is valid.*

Using the example (53) we can show that the **Proposition 1** is sharp up to ϵ in the range of p for the estimate (52).

Proof of Proposition 1:
The main issue is to improve the result of **Lemma 4**. Denote by

$$L_j(x) = \sum_{2^j\leq\nu\leq 2^{j+1}} K_\nu(x). \tag{71}$$

Using summation by parts we obtain from (63), (58) and (70) that

$$\begin{aligned} |L_j(x)| &= |\sum_{2^j\leq\nu\leq 2^{j+1}} K_\nu(x)| \\ &\leq C_\epsilon |x|^\epsilon 2^{-\frac{d-1}{2}j}. \end{aligned}$$

We will deal with the following expression instead of (59)

$$\begin{aligned} |\int \tilde{f}(x)(L_j * f)(x)dx| &= |\int \tilde{f}(x)\beta^\epsilon \frac{(L_j * f)(x)}{\beta^\epsilon}dx| \\ &\leq \|\beta^\epsilon f(x)\|_p \|\frac{(L_j * f)}{\beta^\epsilon}\|_{p'}. \end{aligned}$$

If $p' = \infty$ or $p' = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\frac{(L_j * f)}{\beta^\epsilon}\|_\infty &\leq \|\frac{\int_{|y|\leq|x|} |L_j(x-y)| \cdot |f(y)|dy + \int_{|y|\geq|x|} |L_j(x-y)| \cdot |f(y)|dy}{\beta^\epsilon(x)}\|_\infty \\
&\leq \|\frac{L_j}{\beta^\epsilon}\|_\infty \|\beta^\epsilon f\|_1 \\
&\leq C_\epsilon 2^{-\frac{d-1}{2}j} \|\beta^\epsilon f\|_1, \\
\|\frac{(L_j * f)}{\beta^\epsilon}\|_2 &\leq \|L_j * f\|_2 \\
&\leq \|\hat{L}_j\|_\infty \|f\|_2 \\
&\leq C 2^j \|f\|_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Interpolating between $p = 1$ and $p = 2$, we obtain

$$\|\frac{(L_j * f)}{\beta^\epsilon}\|_{p'} \leq C_\epsilon 2^{-j\alpha_p} \|\beta^\epsilon f\|_p$$

where $\alpha_p = \frac{d+1}{p} - \frac{d+3}{2}$. We have $\alpha_p > 0$ if $p < \frac{2d+2}{d+3}$. □

3. Concerning the lower dimensional cases we can use the following results from the Number Theory:

$$r_3(n) \leq C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ln n \ln\ln n,$$

$$r_4(n) \leq C n \ln\ln n.$$

See for example ([1]). There is an infinite arithmetic progression, e.g. $n = 8k + 1$, such that

$$r_3(n) \geq C_\epsilon n^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}.$$

See for example ([4]). Then **Theorem 2** holds when $d = 3$ and **Theorem 2′** holds when $d = 3$ or $d = 4$ if we replace

$$\|g_\rho\|_2^2 = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{g}_\rho(m)|_2^2$$

with

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d} |m|^\epsilon |\hat{g}_\rho(m)|_2^2,$$

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d,|m|>3} \frac{|\hat{g}_\rho(m)|_2^2}{\ln|m|\ln\ln|m|}$$

or

$$\sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}^d,|m|>3} \frac{|\hat{g}_\rho(m)|_2^2}{\ln\ln|m|}$$

correspondingly.
Using a technique similar to the one in the proof of **Proposition 1**, second remark we also obtain the following results in lower dimensions:

$$\|\beta\cdot\hat{f}\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_p + \|g\|_2 \text{ when } d=3 \text{ and } \beta(x)=\min(1,\tfrac{1}{|x|^\epsilon}),$$

$$\|g-\hat{g}(0)\|_2 \lesssim \|\beta\cdot f\|_p + \|\beta\cdot\hat{f}\|_2 \text{ when } d=3 \text{ and } \beta(x)=\max(1,\ln_+|x|\ln_+(\ln_+|x|)),$$

$$\|g-\hat{g}(0)\|_2 \lesssim \|\beta\cdot f\|_p + \|\beta\cdot\hat{f}\|_2 \text{ when } d=4 \text{ and } \beta(x)=\max(1,\ln_+(\ln_+|x|)),$$

and $1\le p<\frac{2d}{d+2}$ in all three cases.

Acknowledgements

This work was inspired by useful discussions with Thomas Wolff.

References

[1] P.T. Bateman, *On the represenation of a number as the sum of three squares*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 71 (1951), 70-101.

[2] K.M. Davis, Y.-C. Chang, *Lectures on Bochner-Riesz means*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.

[3] E. Grosswald, *Representations of integers as sums of squares*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[4] E. Grosswald, A. Calloway and J. Calloway *The representation of integers by three positive squares*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 10 (1959), 451-455.

[5] L. Hormander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[6] M. Kolountzakis, *A new estimate for a problem of Steinhaus*, International Mathematics Research Notes, 1996, No. 11, 547-555.

[7] M. Kolountzakis, T. Wolff, *On the Steinhaus tiling problem*, Mathematika, 46 (1999), no. 2, 253 - 280.

[8] H. Montgomery, *Ten lectures on the interface between analytic number theory and harmonic analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., 1994.